

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS - TEMPO

Date: 10/04/18

App: 5 - 7 The Lakes

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO; where trees in good or fair condition have poor form, deduct 1 point

| | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|---|
| 5 | Good | Highly suitable | <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">5</div> |
| 3 | Fair | Fairly Suitable | |
| 1 | Poor | Unlikely to be suitable | |
| 0 | Dead/dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable | |
| * Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only | | | |

b) Retention span (in years) & suitability for TPO

| | | | |
|---|--------|-----------------|---|
| 5 | 100+ | Highly suitable | <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">5</div> |
| 4 | 40-100 | Very suitable | |
| 2 | 20-40 | Suitable | |
| 1 | 10-20 | Just suitable | |
| 0 | <10* | Unsuitable | |

**Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality*

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use

| | | | |
|---|--|---------------------|---|
| 5 | Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees | Highly suitable | <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">4</div> |
| 4 | Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public | Suitable | |
| 3 | Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only | Suitable | |
| 2 | Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable | |
| 1 | Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size | Probably unsuitable | |

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 5 | Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees | <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">5</div> |
| 4 | Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion | |
| 3 | Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance | |
| 2 | Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual | |
| 1 | Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features (inc. those of indifferent form) | |

14

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify

| | | |
|---|----------------------------|---|
| 5 | Immediate threat to tree | <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">5</div> |
| 3 | Foreseeable threat to tree | |
| 2 | Perceived threat to tree | |
| 1 | Precautionary only | |

Part 3: Decision guide

| | | |
|-------|-----------------------|---|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO | <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center; background-color: #c8e6c9;">24</div> |
| 1 - 6 | TPO indefensible | |
| 7-11 | Does not merit TPO | |
| 12-15 | TPO defensible | |
| 16+ | Definitely merits TPO | |